United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,949	11/14/2003	Byung-Youn Song	1793.1085	7769	
21171	7590 10/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
2	HALSEY LLP		KAYRISH, M	KAYRISH, MATTHEW	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/706,949	SONG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Matthew G. Kayrish	2627

	Matthew G. Kayrish	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-18 and 20-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New subject matter in the amended claims raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Matthew G. Kayrish AU 2627

William Korzul
WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600